

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/601,087	Applicant(s) SIDHU ET AL.	
	Examiner Mathieu D. Vargot	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(2) Mr. Brueggemann (Atty).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

That of record; additionally, concerning claim 1 and its dependents, the Swanson reference.

Claims discussed:

Claim 1 and its dependents

Prior art documents discussed:

That of record and Swanson

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 13 and its dependent claims were considered to be allowable over the prior art, including Swanson. at this juncture, applicant agreed to cancel claim 1 and its dependents pursuant to filing a continuation on the broader subject matter.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)